

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,039	01/14/2004	Ronald E. Rygielski	120 04765 US	3218
128 HONEYWEL	7590 04/15/200 LINTERNATIONAL I	EXAMINER		
101 COLUMBIA ROAD P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOWN, NI 07962-2245			MOUTAOUAKIL, MOUNIR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. ,		2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/757,039 RYGIELSKI ET AL. Office Action Summary

Office Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MOUNIR MOUTAOUAKIL	2619					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MCNITHS from the mailing date of the communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the mancium statutory period we have a considered above the mancium statutory period we have a considered to the communication. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned paint term adjustment, See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ja	nuary 2008.						
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
· ···	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
· _	application						
·- · · · · ·	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-13, and 15-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> , and 15-18 is/are rejected.	/ -						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
) Claim(s) is all e objected to:) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
,,	oloulotti oquitotto						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	+(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal P						

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-892) 3) Information Dissolvative Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mail Date. 5) Interview Gulfornel Feter Legs lication 6) Other:
S. Patent and Trademark Office	

Application/Control Number: 10/757,039 Page 2

Art Unit: 2619

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Amendments filed on 01-02-2008 have been entered.

Claim 14 has been cancelled.

Claims 1-13, and 15-18 are still rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barney et al, PGPUB 20020072875 (hereinafter Barney), in view of Dick et al PGPUB 2003/0147362 (hereinafter Dick).

With respect to Claim1

Barney teaches a plurality of controllers and a communication network connecting each of the controllers (See section [0004], lines 3-6); determining a time synchronization

Art Unit: 2619

function is enabled (See section [0004], lines 6-8, as well as section [0011], where establishing an operating characteristic is substantively the same as synchronization function, as well as section [0015], lines5-10, where synchronization is perform only when machine is operating);

Barney further teaches determining a time difference between said communications network time and said module reference time provided by said module (See section[0019]); determining that said determined time difference is greater than a first limit, and less than or equal to a second limit; and automatically adjusting, (See section[0020], lines 6-10, where one clock is automatically and held or paused, until the difference has been gradually reduced or eliminated) automatically, said network communications network time to synchronize with said module reference time over a predetermined synchronization interval (See section[0019], lines 9-12], as well as section[0020], line 12-16, where synchronization is automatic, where synchronization is done over an interval).

Barney further teaches determining a predetermined synchronization and adjusting time (see section 201).

Barney does not teach determining a correction rate, and adjusting time based on correction rate.

Dick which is in the same field of endeavor (time synchronization), teaches determining a correction rate and adjusting time based on correction rate (see section [0076] and [0069]. Also see section [0041] and section [0043]). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine a

Art Unit: 2619

correction rate, and use it for time adjustment as taught by Dick in the invention of barney, in order to avoid misinterpretation of data by a receiving station, as synchronization allow receiving station to properly interpret data packet received.

With respect to Claims 2 and 13

Barney further teaches gradually adjusting results in a reduction of said time difference between said communications network time and said module reference time that is substantially constant and without a time reversal. (See section [0021], where by setting local time to official time means reducing difference between the two to zero, as well as section [0020], lines 1-10, where local time synchronization is either done by fast forwarding the clock or pausing the clock, but never backward which is substantively the same as reverse).

With respect to Claims 3 and 15

Barney further teaches determining that a system change is requested; and in response to said system change request, immediately synchronizing, automatically, said communications network time and said module reference time (See section [0020], lines 1-3).

With respect to Claims 4 and 16

Barney further teaches two of the alternative limitation of claim 4 which is; system change is a module being initialized for providing said module reference time (See section [0019], lines 1-7, where master controller is the reference controller), and a user-initiated time synchronization (See section [0022], lines 5-12, where an operator will perform the synchronization)

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/757,039

Art Unit: 2619

With respect to Claims 5 and 17

Barney further teaches determining that the time difference between said communications network time and said module reference time is greater than a third limit that is greater than said second limit (See section [0022], lines 1-4); and in response to said time difference being greater than said third limit, taking no automatic action to synchronize said time difference between said communications network time and said module reference time. (See section [0022], lines 4-13, where no action is automatically taken; by the system as oppose to be manually updated by a technician)

With respect to Claim 6

Barney further teaches that a module is designated a master module and only said master module provides said module reference time (See section [0019], lines 1-7).

With respect to Claim 7-11

Claims 7-11 are rejected for the same reason as rejected claims 1-5, except for a storage medium having instruction to perform the above limitation

Barney disclose controller that inherently comprise medium storage suitable to carry the instruction to perform the above limitation (see section [0009]).

4. Claims 12-13 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barney et al, PGPUB 20020072875 (hereinafter Barney), in view of Kirk, Patent No 4709347, (hereinafter Kirk), and further in view of Dick et al PGPUB 2003/0147362 (hereinafter Dick).

With respect to Claim 12

Barney teaches a plurality of controllers and a communication network connecting each

Art Unit: 2619

of the controllers (substantively the same as module) (See section [0004], lines 3-6); with one of the controller a master controller (see section [0019], lines 1-3).

Barney disclose modules/controller with a time synchronization function (see section [00121).

Determines a time difference between said communications network, time and said

module reference time provided by said module (section [0019]) determines that the determined time difference is greater than a first limit, and less than or equal to a second limit (see section [0021]). Barney further teaches that the controller determine a predetermined synchronization and adjusting time (see section 201). Barney does not teach that the module/controller determines a correction rate, and adjusting time based on correction rate. Barney does not teach module comprising: a processor; a network interface for providing a communication interface to said communications network; a bus interface for providing a communication interface to a host processor; and a system clock subsystem for providing said module reference time and for controlling a time synchronization function to reduce a time difference between said communications network time and said module reference time. However, Dick which is in the same field of endeavor (time synchronization), teaches determining a correction rate and adjusting time based on correction rate (see section [0076] and [0069]. Also see section [0.041] and section [0043]). Thus, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine a correction rate, and use it for time adjustment as taught by Dick in the invention of barney, in order to avoid misinterpretation of data by a receiving station, as

Application/Control Number: 10/757,039
Art Unit: 2619

synchronization allow receiving station to properly interpret data packet received. Kirk which is in the same field of endeavor (clock synchronization in a network), disclose a clock synchronization system with a master station and slave station (See abstract, lines 1-4, as well as column 1, lines 60-68, column 2, lines 31-36), comprising A processor (See figure 2, item 36-04, as well as column 4, lines 57-65), a network interface (See figure 2, item 18-04, as well as column 4, lines 12-18), a bus interface (figure 2, item 16-04, as well as column 4, lines 12-13), and a system clock (see fig 2, item 48-04, as well column 5, lines 5-10). Thus, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a module comprising a processor, network interface, bus interface and system clock subsystem in order to synchronize to a desired degree of accuracy the timing subsystems of the modules of a distributed local area network by the master and the slave (See abstract, lines 1-5).

With respect to Claim 18

Barney does not teach time synchronization function including a predetermined synchronization interval operates on a periodic basis.

Dick teaches a network controller having a synchronization function including said predetermined synchronization interval operates on a periodic basis (see section [0041], where update is done once per unit of time.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to synchronize clock in a communication network, as taught by Dick in the invention of barney, in order to avoid misinterpretation of data by a receiving station, as synchronization allow receiving station to properly interpret data packet received.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/757,039

Art Unit: 2619

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01-02-2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants contend that the prior at of records, Barney, fails to teach determining a time synchronization function is enabled.

Examiner respectfully disagrees, the prior art of record, Barney's, is directed the same subject matter claimed. Barney discloses a method and apparatus that is capable of establishing a synchronization function, as long as a controller is on, in order to synchronize the master controller and the other controllers.

Applicants argue that Barney in view of Dick fail to teach "determining a rate of correction based on predetermined synchronization interval and said determined time difference"

Examiner respectfully disagrees, Barney discloses adjusting the communication network time to synchronize with the module reference time over a predetermined synchronization interval [0019], and [0020]. Barney teaches all the elements of the claimed invention with the exception of determining a rate of correction. However, Dick, within the same field of endeavor, teaches the element not discloses in Barney's, which is determining a correction rate. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would use the method of determining a correction rate, as taught by Dick, within a predetermined synchronization interval and time difference, as taught by Barney, in order to avoid misinterpretation of data by a

Art Unit: 2619

receiving station, as synchronization allow receiving station to properly interpret data packet received.

Conclusion

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

When responding to this office action, applicants are advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which they think the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Applicants must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. See 37C.F.R 1.111(c). In addition, applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and pages numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist examiner in locating the appropriate paragraphs.

Art Unit: 2619

30pm) Eastern Time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOUNIR MOUTAOUAKIL whose telephone number is (571)270-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (1pm-4:

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mounir Moutaouakil/ Examiner, Art Unit 2619

> /Hassan Kizou/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619